Connecticut State Department of Education

DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2015–16



Simsbury School District

Mr. Matthew Curtis, Superintendent • 860-651-3361 • www.simsbury.k12.ct.us

District Information

| Grade Range | PK-12 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Number of Schools/Programs | 8 |
| Enrollment | 4,190 |
| Per Pupil Expenditures ¹ | \$15,527 |
| Total Expenditures ¹ | \$68,801,184 |

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2014-15 year.



Community Information

<u>CERC Town Profiles</u> provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT)

District and School Performance Reports

Special Education Annual Performance Reports

SAT®, AP®, PSAT® Report by High School (Class of 2016)

(2016® The College Board)

Contents

| Students | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Educators | 2 |
| Instruction and Resources | 2 |
| Performance and Accountability | 4 |
| Narratives | 7 |

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2015-16 and include all grades offered by the district.

In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit edsight.ct.gov.

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been

suppressed to ensure student confidentiality. N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

| October 1, 2015 Enrollment | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | District | State | | | |
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) | | | |
| Female | 2,023 | 48.3 | 48.3 | | | |
| Male | 2,167 | 51.7 | 51.6 | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | | | |
| Asian | 267 | 6.4 | 4.9 | | | |
| Black or African American | 223 | 5.3 | 12.8 | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | 270 | 6.4 | 23.0 | | | |
| Pacific Islander | * | * | 0.0 | | | |
| Two or More Races | * | * | 2.7 | | | |
| White | 3,341 | 79.7 | 55.9 | | | |
| English Learners | 53 | 1.3 | 6.4 | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 397 | 9.5 | 38.0 | | | |
| Students with Disabilities ¹ | 540 | 12.9 | 13.7 | | | |

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

| | Chronic | | Suspension/ | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| | Absenteeism ² | | Ехри | ılsion³ |
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 106 | 5.3 | 20 | 1.0 |
| Male | 91 | 4.2 | 72 | 3.3 |
| Black or African American | 21 | 9.9 | 25 | 11.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 12 | 4.5 | 7 | 2.6 |
| White | 149 | 4.5 | 56 | 1.7 |
| English Learners | * | * | 0 | 0.0 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 42 | 11.7 | 32 | 7.8 |
| Students with Disabilities | 67 | 12.4 | 24 | 3.9 |
| District | 197 | 4.7 | 92 | 2.2 |
| State | | 9.6 | | 7.0 |

Number of students in 2014-15 qualified as truant under state statute: 20

Number of school-based arrests: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)1 Staff

| | FTE |
|--|-------|
| General Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 270.7 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 35.2 |
| Special Education | |
| Teachers and Instructors | 41.3 |
| Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants | 85.4 |
| Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs | |
| District Central Office | 5.0 |
| School Level | 21.8 |
| Library/Media | |
| Specialists (Certified) | 7.8 |
| Support Staff | 5.9 |
| Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers | 18.2 |
| Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists | 24.5 |
| School Nurses | 10.2 |
| Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support | 180.8 |

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

| | | District | State |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Count | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Asian | 4 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Black or African American | 3 | 0.8 | 3.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 1 | 0.3 | 3.5 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Two or More Races | 0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| White | 393 | 98.0 | 91.7 |

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

| | Percent of Total (%) | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--|
| District | 100.0 | | |
| District Poverty Quartile: Low | | | |
| State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.6 | | | |
| State Low Poverty Quartile Schools | 99.6 | | |

 $^{^2\}mbox{Core}$ academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2014-15

| | District | State |
|---|----------|-------|
| Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time | 7.8 | 9.4 |

Instruction and Resources

11th and 12th Graders Enrolled in College-and-Career-Readiness Courses during High School³

| | 11th | | 12th | |
|--|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Black or African American | 14 | 70.0 | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | 13 | * |
| White | 230 | 72.3 | 285 | 83.8 |
| English Learners | * | * | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 11 | 55.0 | 23 | 88.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 24 | 44.4 | 23 | 36.5 |
| District | 268 | 71.8 | 324 | 82.7 |
| State | | 61.2 | | 73.9 |

³College-and-Career-Readiness Courses include Advanced Placement®(AP), International Baccalaureate®(IB), Career and Technical Education(CTE), workplace experience and dual enrollment courses.

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers⁴

| | Count | Rate (%) |
|----------------------------|-------|----------|
| Autism | 53 | 57.6 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 11 | 44.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | * | * |
| Learning Disability | 160 | 84.2 |
| Other Health Impairment | 118 | 83.1 |
| Other Disabilities | * | * |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 38 | 86.4 |
| District | 388 | 73.1 |
| State | | 68.8 |

⁴Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

| | Dis | State | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|
| | Count | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Autism | 94 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Emotional Disturbance | 25 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
| Intellectual Disability | 27 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Learning Disability | 190 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Other Health Impairment | 142 | 3.3 | 2.8 |
| Other Disabilities | 22 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 49 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| All Disabilities | 549 | 12.9 | 13.4 |

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures: 2014-15

| | | Per Pupil | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| | Total (\$) | District (\$) | State (\$) |
| Instructional Staff and Services | 39,569,080 | 9,262 | 9,387 |
| Instructional Supplies and Equipment | 925,253 | 217 | 318 |
| Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services | 3,900,417 | 913 | 541 |
| Student Support Services | 5,028,114 | 1,177 | 1,048 |
| Administration and Support Services | 5,919,193 | 1,386 | 1,790 |
| Plant Operation and Maintenance | 7,217,177 | 1,689 | 1,608 |
| Transportation | 2,808,444 | 651 | 845 |
| Costs of Students Tuitioned Out | 2,674,759 | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 758,747 | 178 | 194 |
| Total | 68,801,184 | 15,527 | 15,762 |
| Additiona | al Expenditures | | |
| Land, Buildings, and Debt Service | 3,468,501 | 812 | 1,524 |

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2014-15

| | Distr | State | |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Total (\$) | Percent of Total (%) | Percent of Total (%) |
| Certified Personnel | 5,178,865 | 35.8 | 35.1 |
| Noncertified Personnel | 3,089,054 | 21.3 | 14.5 |
| Purchased Services | 692,050 | 4.8 | 5.5 |
| Tuition to Other Schools | 2,395,008 | 16.6 | 21.6 |
| Special Ed. Transportation | 1,234,426 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| Other Expenditures | 1,879,597 | 13.0 | 15.0 |
| Total Expenditures | 14,469,000 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Expenditures by Revenue Source:4 2014-15

| | Percent of Total (%) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Including Excluding | | | | |
| | School School | | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | | | |
| Local | 87.5 | 86.9 | | | |
| State | 11.0 | 11.4 | | | |
| Federal | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | |
| Tuition & Other | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | |
| | | | | | |

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

| | English Langua | ge Arts(ELA) | Mat | h | Scien | ce |
|--|----------------|--------------|-------|------|-------|------|
| | Count | DPI | Count | DPI | Count | DPI |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| Asian | 147 | 86.0 | 147 | 82.3 | 68 | 76.7 |
| Black or African American | 128 | 65.4 | 126 | 54.2 | 48 | 62.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 144 | 75.0 | 143 | 66.3 | 63 | 65.7 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | * | * | * | * | 0 | N/A |
| Two or More Races | * | * | * | * | 14 | * |
| White | 1809 | 81.3 | 1800 | 74.5 | 781 | 71.3 |
| English Learners | 29 | 61.1 | 29 | 55.4 | 9 | * |
| Non-English Learners | 2245 | 80.5 | 2232 | 73.5 | 965 | 71.1 |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 222 | 67.1 | 215 | 57.7 | 83 | 61.9 |
| Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 2052 | 81.7 | 2046 | 74.9 | 891 | 71.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 325 | 60.2 | 323 | 53.2 | 138 | 53.3 |
| Students without Disabilities | 1949 | 83.7 | 1938 | 76.6 | 836 | 73.8 |
| High Needs | 499 | 64.5 | 491 | 56.8 | 203 | 57.2 |
| Non-High Needs | 1775 | 84.7 | 1770 | 77.9 | 771 | 74.5 |
| District | 2274 | 80.3 | 2261 | 73.3 | 974 | 70.9 |

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

| | NAEP | NAEP 2013 | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| READING | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 43% | 43% | 50% |
| National Public | 35% | 33% | 36% |
| MATH | Grade 4 | Grade 8 | Grade 12 |
| Connecticut | 41% | 36% | 32% |
| National Public | 39% | 32% | 25% |

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

| | Percent of Students by Grade ³ (%) | | | | All Teste | ed Grades |
|----------------------|---|------|------|------|-----------|-----------|
| | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Count | Rate (%) |
| Sit & Reach | 75.3 | 81.0 | 91.5 | 95.6 | 1,232 | 85.7 |
| Curl Up | 83.1 | 86.8 | 69.4 | 77.1 | 1,232 | 79.0 |
| Push Up | 76.6 | 81.3 | 79.7 | 88.6 | 1,232 | 81.3 |
| Mile Run/PACER | 77.3 | 79.8 | 87.4 | 88.2 | 1,232 | 83.1 |
| All Tests - District | 49.2 | 58.9 | 57.1 | 65.7 | 1,232 | 57.5 |
| All Tests - State | 50.6 | 49.8 | 50.6 | 51.1 | | 50.5 |

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Cohort Graduation: Four-Year¹

| | 2014-15 | | | | 2015-16 |
|--|---------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Cohort Count ² | Rate (%) | Target³ (%) | Target Achieved | Target³ (%) |
| Black or African American | 26 | 92.3 | | | |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * | | | |
| English Learners | * | * | | | |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 48 | 91.7 | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | 54 | 77.8 | 86.8 | No | 87.7 |
| District | 382 | 95.3 | 94.0 | Yes | 94.0 |
| State ⁴ | | 87.2 | | | |

¹The four-year cohort graduation rate represents the percentage of first-time 9th graders who earn a standard high school diploma within four years. Statewide, district and school results for cohorts of 2010 through 2014 are <u>available online</u>.

11th and 12th Graders Meeting Benchmark on at Least One College Readiness Exam⁵

| | Participation ⁶ | Meeting B | Benchmark |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Rate (%) | Count | Rate (%) |
| Female | 95.1 | 272 | 74.3 |
| Male | 94.7 | 285 | 71.4 |
| Black or African American | 93.5 | * | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | 16 | 59.3 |
| White | 95.3 | 494 | 75.1 |
| English Learners | * | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 100.0 | 17 | 37.0 |
| Students with Disabilities | 70.5 | 17 | 38.6 |
| District | 94.9 | 557 | 72.8 |
| State | 95.6 | | 40.7 |

⁵College readiness exams and benchmark scores are as follows:

- SAT® meets benchmark score on SAT, Revised SAT or Connecticut School Day SAT
- ACT® meets benchmark score on 3 of 4 exams (benchmark score varies by subject)
- AP® 3 or higher on any one AP® exam
- IB® 4 or higher on any one IB® exam
- Smarter Balanced Level 3 or higher on both ELA and math

⁶Participation Rate equals the number of test-takers in 11th and 12th grade divided by the number of students enrolled in those grades, as a percent. Sources:

SAT® and AP® statistics derived from data provided by the College Board.

Copyright © 2016 The College Board. www.collegeboard.org

ACT® statistics derived from data provided by ACT, Inc.

Copyright © 2016 ACT, Inc. www.act.org

IB® statistics derived from data provided by the International Baccalaureate Organization.

Copyright © International Baccalaureate Organization 2016

College Entrance and Persistence

| | Class of 2015 | Class of 2014 |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Entrance ⁷ | Persistence ⁸ |
| | Rate (%) | Rate (%) |
| Female | 87.2 | 97.0 |
| Male | 86.5 | 95.1 |
| Black or African American | 70.8 | * |
| Hispanic or Latino | * | * |
| White | 90.3 | 96.2 |
| English Learners | * | * |
| Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals | 60.0 | 76.2 |
| Students with Disabilities | 71.1 | 89.7 |
| District | 86.8 | 96.0 |
| State | 71.9 | 88.3 |

⁷College entrance refers to the percent of high school graduates from the year who enrolled in college any time during the first year after high school.

Source: National Student Clearinghouse

²Cohort count includes all students in the cohort as of the end of the 2014-15 school year.

³Targets are calculated when there are at least 20 students in a cohort in the base year (i.e., 2010-11).

⁴Targets are not displayed at the state level.

⁸College persistence refers to the percent of students who enrolled in college the first year after high school and returned for a second year (Freshman to Sophomore persistence).

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

| Indicator | | Index/Rate | Target | Points | Max | % Points | State Average |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|------|----------|---------------|
| ELA Performance Index | All Students | 80.3 | 75 | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.7 |
| ELA Performance muex | High Needs Students | 64.5 | 75 | 43.0 | 50 | 86.0 | 56.7 |
| Math Performance Index | All Students | 73.3 | 75 | 48.9 | 50 | 97.7 | 61.4 |
| Math Performance index | High Needs Students | 56.8 | 75 | 37.9 | 50 | 75.7 | 49.9 |
| Science Performance Index | All Students | 70.9 | 75 | 47.3 | 50 | 94.5 | 57.5 |
| Science Performance muex | High Needs Students | 57.2 | 75 | 38.1 | 50 | 76.3 | 47.0 |
| ELA Academic Growth | All Students | 74.0% | 100% | 74.0 | 100 | 74.0 | 63.8% |
| ELA ACAGEMIC Growth | High Needs Students | 65.9% | 100% | 65.9 | 100 | 65.9 | 58.3% |
| Math Academic Growth | All Students | 73.8% | 100% | 73.8 | 100 | 73.8 | 65.0% |
| Math Academic Growth | High Needs Students | 59.3% | 100% | 59.3 | 100 | 59.3 | 57.4% |
| Chronic Absenteeism | All Students | 4.7% | <=5% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 9.6% |
| Cilionic Absenteeisin | High Needs Students | 11.3% | <=5% | 37.3 | 50 | 74.7 | 15.6% |
| Droparation for CCB | % Taking Courses | 77.4% | 75% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 67.6% |
| Preparation for CCR | % Passing Exams | 72.8% | 75% | 48.5 | 50 | 97.1 | 40.7% |
| On-track to High School Gra | duation | 99.0% | 94% | 50.0 | 50 | 100.0 | 85.1% |
| 4-year Graduation All Stude | nts (2015 Cohort) | 95.3% | 94% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 87.2% |
| 6-year Graduation - High Needs Students (2013 Cohort) | | 88.9% | 94% | 94.6 | 100 | 94.6 | 78.6% |
| Postsecondary Entrance (Class of 2015) | | 86.8% | 75% | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 71.9% |
| Physical Fitness (estimated p | part rate) and (fitness rate) | 92.4% 57.5% | 75% | 38.4 | 50 | 76.7 | 89.2% 50.5% |
| Arts Access | | 45.5% | 60% | 37.9 | 50 | 75.8 | 47.5% |
| Accountability Index | | | | 1144.9 | 1350 | 84.8 | |

| Gap Indicators | Non-High Needs Rate ¹ | High Needs Rate | Size of Gap | State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev² | Is Gap an Outlier?² |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Achievement Gap Size Outlier? | | | | | Υ |
| ELA Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 64.5 | 10.5 | 16.5 | |
| Math Performance Index Gap | 75.0 | 56.8 | 18.2 | 18.9 | |
| Science Performance Index Gap | 74.5 | 57.2 | 17.3 | 17.2 | |
| Graduation Rate Gap | 94.0% | 88.9% | 5.1% | 15.3% | N |

¹ If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), the ultimate target is used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, the gap is an outlier.

| Sul | oject/Subgroup | Participation Rate (%) ³ | |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ELA | All Students | 99.5 | ³ Minimum |
| ELA | High Needs Students | 98.7 | participation standard is 95%. |
| Math | All Students | 99.4 | |
| IVIALII | High Needs Students | 98.4 | |
| Science | All Students | 99.1 | |
| Science | High Needs Students | 97.6 | |

Connecticut's State Identified Measurable Goal for Children with Disabilities (SIMR)

Increase the reading performance of all 3rd grade students with disabilities statewide, as measured by Connecticut's English Language Arts (ELA) Performance Index.

Grade 3 ELA Performance Index for Students with Disabilities:

District: 65.5 State: 51.4

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

We achieve our goals for teaching and learning through continuous improvement planning, a cycle that never ends. This cycle drives changes but also results in confirmation that we are on the right path and should stay the course. Each fall we look at the areas identified for improvement during the previous cycle, assess how much we have progressed in those areas, and then take that data and synthesize it into a District Annual Report. The goals and benchmarks are aligned with and support the Simsbury Public Schools District Vision and Core Beliefs and have been established through an analysis of state-district student assessment data including staff and community input. Our schools have an improvement cycle each school year where a plan is created based on analysis of data and student learning needs which relies on the principal working with stakeholders to set the plan for the school. Teachers work in collaborative teams to assess student achievement, monitor student progress, and refine instructional practices. They use data to determine needs, identify goals and strategies to meet those needs, and engage in a cycle of action and reflection that enable us to continuously improve. Professional development (PD) is ongoing throughout the district over the course of the year to further support our improvement indicators. Our 5-year focus is on a limited number of strategic initiatives: reading by end of gr. 3; performing on grade level in reading-writing-math by end of gr. 6; prepared for success in high school, demonstrated by grade level performance in reading-writing-math by end of gr. 8; prepared for college and career success at end of gr. 12; demonstrating positive social choices at all levels; highly effective teaching in every classroom supported by highly effective leaders; stakeholders expressing satisfaction with the district's efforts related to safety and social emotional wellbeing; engaging stakeholders by communicating improvement efforts and providing opportunities to participate in the improvement process; and transparent and efficient budgeting that supports district goals. The SPED department conducts PD designed to improve programming and outcomes for students: improving teachers' skill in co-teaching and writing and developing student goals and objectives to be measurable and aligned to our core curriculum. SPED teachers are part of general education teams, working to support the inclusion model so students with disabilities are receiving instruction in the classroom with limited pullout services. We have a strong partnership with parents and our home-school collaboration is a cornerstone for the success of our learners. Schools engage parents in the improvement process through representation on school committees promoting feedback and conducting surveys, because we believe that partnerships with parents are essential to our success. Principals and the district communicate through weekly electronic messages and the district listserv, along with a Community Bulletin Board on our district website. Although our district attendance rate is very high and truancy is not a problem area, we identify students who have these issues and engage in dialogue with families, identifying supports to improve their attendance rate. Our Annual Report, school plans, as well as annual results and outcomes, are available on our website.

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

The vision of the Simsbury School District is to cultivate the mind, body, and character of each student. We promote equity and respect among students through the teaching & learning experiences of our academic program, including extracurricular and athletic programs. Our K-12 faculty provides learning opportunities for students toward reducing racial, ethnic, and economic isolation, so they can contribute to a global society with integrity, compassion, and resilience. We have been committed to the Open Choice Program since its inception, with 157 Hartford students attending our seven schools. A district Choice Coordinator works with students, parents, teachers, and administrators to support a positive and seamless integration. In Simsbury there are a range of programs that highlight our commitment including, but not limited to: inclusion of rich literature and picture books across the district related to various cultures, races and traditions; well-developed Character Education Programs; school-wide PTO sponsored Cultural Enrichment programs focused on diversity; grades 1 & 4 participate in a Community Farm project with students and teachers from Hartford; SEED program extends the school day for local and Choice students; the K-12 SS curriculum integrates themes and activities teaching about diverse cultures, instilling appreciation for diversity; WL (5-12) develops student awareness of the social and cultural values of foreign societies; 7-12 students participate in a sister-school relationship with China via CAS and SDE; gr. 8 students attend a Holocaust survivor presentation; several SHS students attend classes at the Greater Harford Academy of Math & Science and the Academy of Performing Arts located in Hartford; SHS students participate in the Model UN Club and Mock Trial competitions; and the town of Simsbury supports the ABC program. Students have opportunities to work with disabled peers in athletics and the arts. The Multicultural Club, Gay Straight Alliance, and dance step team are active at SHS. In

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools

Our annual budget process is designed to ensure equitable allocation of resources among our seven schools & various programs. Each fall, all administrators work collaboratively to develop an annual budget that addresses the needs of each school in support of the district goals. The budget cycle includes: administrators making requests to the superintendent which are then presented to the BOE. Once approved by the BOE the budget is submitted to the town's BOS & BOF, presented at public meetings, and ends with a spring vote. Our practice is to ensure equitable allocation of resources among schools, including consistent guidelines for class size at all levels; however, changes in student enrollment, BOE priorities, unfunded or under-funded federal and state mandates, increased special education needs, higher transportation and variable energy costs are main influences on resource allocation. During the budget process several school and community meetings are held by the Superintendent and BOE members, including a local TV taping to discuss funding needs and gather feedback. Community input is welcomed through public budget work sessions and through our interactive budget website.