DISTRICT PROFILE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2014–15



Hartland School District

Mr. Anthony Distasio, Superintendent • 860-653-7207 • http://hartlandschool.com

District Information

Grade Range	РК-8
Number of Schools/Programs	1
Enrollment	203
Per Pupil Expenditures ¹	\$18,863
Total Expenditures ¹	\$4,338,427

¹Expenditure data reflect the 2013-14 year.



Community Information

CERC Town Profiles provide summary demographic and economic information for Connecticut's municipalities

Related Reports/Publications

CT Reports (CMT/CAPT) **District and School Performance Reports** Special Education Annual Performance Reports SAT[®], AP[®], PSAT[®] Report by High School (Class of 2015) (2015[®] The College Board)

Contents

Students1
Educators
Instruction and Resources 2
Performance and Accountability 4
Narratives

Notes

Unless otherwise noted, all data are for 2014-15 and include all grades offered by the district. In most tables, data are displayed only for the three major

race/ethnicity categories. For additional race/ethnicity categories, please visit EdSight (EdSight.ct.gov).

State totals are not displayed as they are not comparable to district totals.

Special Education tables reflect only students for whom the district is fiscally responsible.

* When an asterisk is displayed, data have been suppressed to ensure student confidentiality.

N/A is displayed when a category is not applicable for a district or school.

Students

October 1, 2014 Enrollment				
		District	State	
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Female	96	47.3	48.3	
Male	107	52.7	51.6	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	0.2	
Asian	* *		4.7	
Black or African American	* *		12.9	
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	22.1	
Pacific Islander	0 0.0		0.0	
Two or More Races	* *		2.5	
White	190 93.6		57.2	
English Language Learners	0	0.0	6.3	
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	20	9.9	37.6	
Students with Disabilities ¹	27	13.3	13.3	

¹Students in this category are students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) only. This category does not include students with Section 504 Plans.

Chronic Absenteeism and Suspension/Expulsion

	Chronic		Suspension/	
	Absenteeism ²		Expu	llsion ³
	Count	Rate (%)	Count	Rate (%)
Female	*	*	0	0.0
Male	*	*	0	0.0
Black or African American	0 *		0	*
Hispanic or Latino	0 *		0	*
White	6	3.4	0	0.0
English Language Learners	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	* *		0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	0 0.0		0	0.0
District	6	3.2	0	0.0
State		10.6		7.2

Number of students in 2013-14 qualified as truant under state statute: 0

²A student is chronically absent if he/she misses ten percent or greater of the total number of days enrolled in the school year for any reason. Pre-Kindergarten students are excluded from this calculation.

³The count and percentage of students who receive at least one in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension or expulsion.

Educators

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)¹ Staff

	FTE
General Education	
Teachers and Instructors	17.9
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	4.9
Special Education	
Teachers and Instructors	0.0
Paraprofessional Instructional Assistants	0.5
Administrators, Coordinators and Department Chairs	
District Central Office	0.4
School Level	1.0
Library/Media	
Specialists (Certified)	1.0
Support Staff	0.0
Instructional Specialists Who Support Teachers	0.0
Counselors, Social Workers and School Psychologists	0.0
School Nurses	1.0
Other Staff Providing Non-Instructional Services/Support	6.0

¹In the full-time equivalent count, staff members working part-time in the school are counted as a fraction of full-time. For example, a teacher who works half-time in a school contributes 0.50 to the school's staff count.

		• •	
		District	State
	Count	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0.0	0.1
Asian	0	0.0	1.0
Black or African American	0	0.0	3.5
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3.5
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	0.0
Two or More Races	0	0.0	0.0
White	23	100.0	91.8

Educators by Race/Ethnicity

Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers²

	Percent of Total (%)		
District	100.0		
District Poverty Quartile: Low			
State High Poverty Quartile Schools 97.9			
State Low Poverty Quartile Schools	99.6		

²Core academic classes taught by teachers who are fully certified to teach in that subject area.

Classroom Teacher Attendance: 2013-14

	District	State
Average Number of FTE Days Absent Due to Illness or Personal Time	4.5	9.2

Instruction and Resources

Students with Disabilities Who Spend 79.1 to 100 Percent of Time with Nondisabled Peers³

	Count	Rate (%)
Autism	*	*
Emotional Disturbance	0	0
Intellectual Disability	*	*
Learning Disability	14	*
Other Health Impairment	*	*
Other Disabilities	0	0
Speech/Language Impairment	9	*
District	30	90.9
State		69.7

³Ages 6-21

Students with Disabilities by Primary Disability¹

	Dis	State	
	Count	Rate (%)	Rate (%)
Autism	6	2.2	1.5
Emotional Disturbance	*	*	1.0
Intellectual Disability	*	*	0.5
Learning Disability	14	5.2	4.4
Other Health Impairment	*	*	2.6
Other Disabilities	*	*	1.0
Speech/Language Impairment	9	3.4	1.9
All Disabilities	33	12.3	13.0

Students with Disabilities Placed Outside of the District²

	District		State
	Count	Rate (%)	
Public Schools in Other Districts	*	*	8.1
Private Schools or Other Settings	*	*	5.4

²Grades K-12

¹Grades K-12

Overall Expenditures:³ 2013-14

		Per Pupil		
	Total (\$)	District (\$)	State (\$)	
Instructional Staff and Services	1,893,049	9,280	9,134	
Instructional Supplies and Equipment	62,271	305	334	
Improvement of Instruction and Educational Media Services	221,392	1,085	498	
Student Support Services	391,289	1,918	1,001	
Administration and Support Services	426,872	2,093	1,694	
Plant Operation and Maintenance	299,264	1,467	1,572	
Transportation	229,156	807	813	
Costs of Students Tuitioned Out	194,000	N/A	N/A	
Other	621,134	3,045	186	
Total	4,338,427	18,863	15,289	
Additional Expenditures				
Land, Buildings, and Debt Service	480,170	2,354	1,272	

³Expenditures may be supported by local tax revenues, state grants, federal grants, municipal in-kind services, tuition and other sources.

Special Education Expenditures: 2013-14

	Distr	rict	State	
	Total (\$)	Percent of Total (%)	Percent of Total (%)	
Certified Personnel	16,940	2.6	35.1	
Noncertified Personnel	0	0.0	14.2	
Purchased Services	383,444	58.2	5.2	
Tuition to Other Schools	235,618	35.8	22.0	
Special Ed. Transportation	18,259	2.8	8.6	
Other Expenditures	4,608	0.7	14.9	
Total Expenditures	658,869	100.0	100.0	

Expenditures by Revenue Source:⁴ 2013-14

	Percent o	f Total (%)
	Including	Excluding
	School	School
	Construction	Construction
Local	69.8	71.8
State	28.1	26.0
Federal	1.7	1.9
Tuition & Other	0.3	0.3

⁴Revenue sources do not include state-funded Teachers' Retirement Board contributions, vocational-technical school operations, SDE budgeted costs for salaries and leadership activities and other state-funded school districts (e.g., Dept. of Children and Families and Dept. of Correction).

Performance and Accountability

District Performance Index (DPI)

A District Performance Index (DPI) is the average performance of students in a subject area (i.e., ELA, Mathematics or Science) on the state summative assessments. The DPI ranges from 0-100. A DPI is reported for all students tested in a district and for students in each individual student group. Connecticut's ultimate target for a DPI is 75.

	English Langu	age Arts(ELA)	Mat	h	Scier	ice
	Count	DPI	Count	DPI	Count	DPI
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	N/A	N/A
Asian	*	*	*	*	*	*
Black or African American	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Two or More Races	*	*	*	*	*	*
White	126	71.2	126	63.7	50	65.1
English Language Learners	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-English Language Learners	133	70.2	133	62.6	54	63.8
Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	16	*	16	*	6	*
Not Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Meals	117	72.1	117	64.5	48	65.7
Students with Disabilities	26	53.4	26	47.9	14	*
Students without Disabilities	107	74.3	107	66.1	40	71.0
High Needs	35	55.5	35	50.2	15	*
Non-High Needs	98	75.5	98	67.0	39	70.8
District	133	70.2	133	62.6	54	63.8

National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP): Percent At or Above Proficient¹

	NAEP	2015	NAEP 2013
READING	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	43%	43%	50%
National Public	35%	33%	36%
MATH	Grade 4	Grade 8	Grade 12
Connecticut	41%	36%	32%
National Public	39%	32%	25%

¹NAEP is often called the "Nation's Report Card." It is sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education. This table compares Connecticut's performance to that of national public school students. Performance standards for state assessments and NAEP are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across Smarter Balanced and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement other state assessment data. To view student subgroup performance on NAEP, click here.

Physical Fitness Tests: Students Reaching Health Standard²

	Percen	t of Stude	ents by Gra	ade³ (%)	All Teste	ed Grades
	4	6	8	10	Count	Rate (%)
Sit & Reach	95.8	92.6	91.7	N/A	75	93.3
Curl Up	100.0	100.0	100.0	N/A	75	100.0
Push Up	95.8	85.2	95.8	N/A	75	92.0
Mile Run/PACER	100.0	92.6	79.2	N/A	75	90.7
All Tests - District	95.8	77.8	70.8	N/A	75	81.3
All Tests - State	50.8	51.0	50.3	51.9		51.0

²The Connecticut Physical Fitness Assessment (CPFA) is administered to all students in Grades 4, 6, 8 and 10. The health-related fitness scores gathered through the CPFA should be used to educate and motivate children and their families to increase physical activity and develop lifetime fitness habits.

³Only students assessed in all four areas are included in this calculation.

Next Generation Accountability Results

These statistics are the first results from Connecticut's Next Generation Accountability System for districts and schools. This system is a broad set of 12 indicators that help tell the story of how well a school is preparing its students for success in college, careers and life. It moves beyond test scores and graduation rates and instead provides a more holistic, multifactor perspective of district and school performance and incorporates student growth over time.

Indi	cator	Index/Rate	Target	Points	Max	% Points	State Average
ELA Dorformanco Indov	All Students	70.2	75	93.6	100	93.6	67.9
ELA Performance Index	High Needs Students	55.5	75	74.0	100	74.0	56.7
Math Performance Index	All Students	62.6	75	83.4	100	83.4	59.3
Math Performance muex	High Needs Students	50.2	75	66.9	100	66.9	47.8
Science Performance Index	All Students	63.8	75	85.0	100	85.0	56.5
Science Performance index	High Needs Students	N/A	75	0.0	0	0.0	45.9
Chuania Abaantaajam	All Students	3.2%	<=5%	50.0	50	100.0	10.6%
Chronic Absenteeism	High Needs Students	5.4%	<=5%	49.2	50	98.4	17.3%
Droporation for CCD	% Taking Courses	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	66.1%
Preparation for CCR	% Passing Exams	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	37.3%
On-track to High School Grad	duation	90.5%	94%	48.1	50	96.3	85.6%
4-year Graduation All Studer	nts (2014 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	87.0%
6-year Graduation - High Ne	eds Students (2012 Cohort)	N/A	94%	0.0	0	0.0	77.6%
Postsecondary Entrance (Cla	ss of 2014)	N/A	75%	0.0	0	0.0	72.8%
Physical Fitness (estimated p	part rate) and (fitness rate)	102.7% 81.3%	75%	50.0	50	100.0	87.6% 51.0%
Arts Access		N/A	60%	0.0	0	0.0	45.7%
Accountability Index				600.3	700	85.8	

Gap Indicators	Non-High Needs Rate ¹	High Needs Rate	Size of Gap	State Gap Mean + 1 Stdev ²	ls Gap an Outlier?²
Achievement Gap Size Outlier?					Y
ELA Performance Index Gap	75.0	55.5	19.5	17.3	
Math Performance Index Gap	67.0	50.2	16.8	19.6	
Science Performance Index Gap	70.8	N/A		17.2	
Graduation Rate Gap					

¹If the Non-High Needs Rate exceeds the ultimate target (75 for Performance Index and 94% for graduation rate), then the ultimate target is displayed and used for gap calculations.

²If the size of the gap exceeds the state mean gap plus one standard deviation, then the gap is an outlier.

Su	bject/Subgroup	Participation Rate (%)
ELA	All Students	98.5
LLA	High Needs Students	94.9
Math	All Students	98.5
IVIdIII	High Needs Students	94.9
<u> </u>	All Students	100.0
Science	High Needs Students	

Supporting Resources

Two-page FAQ

Detailed Presentation

Using Accountability Results to Guide Improvement: comprehensive documentation and supports

Narratives

School District Improvement Plans and Parental Outreach Activities

Efforts to Reduce Racial, Ethnic and Economic Isolation

Equitable Allocation of Resources among District Schools